

Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering Hiroshima University

Nonlinear analysis of strong coupling gauge theory on Torus

Theoretical Particle and Hadron Physics Group, Hiroshima U.

Shoko Ono

Supervisor : Tomohiro Inagaki

Outline of this talk

Introduction

- The purpose of studying the QCD phase diagram
- \cdot Theories for QCD
- \cdot SDE with finite size and BC
 - The features
- · Analytical calculation
 - How to get the equations to analyze
- Numerical results
- \cdot Conclusion and Summary



- QCD (Quantum Chromo Dynamics)
 - describes the dynamics of hadrons that make up our universe
 - spontaneous symmetry breaking
 - → light quark mass is generated at about 300MeV
 - depends on the environment
 - temperature —
 - density
 - size
 - curvature
 - electromagnetic field,...

The early universe [1]

- the temperature was over $10^{14\circ}\mathrm{C}$
- symmetry restoration
- light quark mass is about 10MeV

Superconductivity [3]

- U(1) symmetry is breaking
- photons (appear to) have mass
- symmetry is restored by applying a magnetic field.



[2] Baym, G., Hatsuda, T., Kojo, T., et al. 2018, Reports on Progress in Physics, 81, 056902

[3] N. B. Kopnin, Theory of Nonequilibrium Superconductivity, Iclarendon Pr, International Series of Monographs on Physics, 2001 Image : Casey Reed / Penn State University



Neutrons stars ^[2]

- have a high density core
- symmetry restoration?
- hyperon ?



- QCD (Quantum Chromo Dynamics)
 - describes the dynamics of hadrons that make up our universe
 - spontaneous symmetry breaking
 - \rightarrow light quark mass is generated at about 300MeV
 - depends on the environment
 - temperature –
 - density
 - size
 - curvature
 - electromagnetic field,....

Studying phase transitions in QCD can be a good probe of

various physical phenomena in our universe.

The early universe [1]

- the temperature was over $10^{14\circ}\mathrm{C}$
- symmetry restoration
- light quark mass is about 10MeV

Superconductivity [3]

- U(1) symmetry is breaking
- photons (appear to) have mass
- symmetry is restored by applying a magnetic field.

[1] A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, S. De Curtis, R. Gatto and G. Pettini, Phys. Lett. B 231, 463 (1989).

[2] Baym, G., Hatsuda, T., Kojo, T., et al. 2018, Reports on Progress in Physics, 81, 056902

[3] N. B. Kopnin, Theory of Nonequilibrium Superconductivity, Iclarendon Pr, International Series of Monographs on Physics, 2001 Image : Casey Reed / Penn State University



Neutrons stars ^[2]

- have a high density core
- symmetry restoration?
- hyperon ?





- QCD (Quantum Chromo Dynamics)
 - describes the dynamics of hadrons that make up our universe
 - spontaneous symmetry breaking
 - \rightarrow light quark mass is generated at about 300MeV
 - depends on the environment
 - temperature
 - density
 - size
 - curvature
 - electromagnetic field,....

Studying phase transitions in QCD can be a good probe of

various physical phenomena in our universe.

The early universe [1]

- the temperature was over $10^{14\circ}\mathrm{C}$
- symmetry restoration
- light quark mass is about 10MeV

Superconductivity [3]

- U(1) symmetry is breaking
- photons (appear to) have mass
- symmetry is restored by applying a magnetic field.

[1] A. Barducci, R. Casalbuoni, S. De Curtis, R. Gatto and G. Pettini, Phys. Lett. B 231, 463 (1989).

[2] Baym, G., Hatsuda, T., Kojo, T., et al. 2018, Reports on Progress in Physics, 81, 056902

[3] N. B. Kopnin, Theory of Nonequilibrium Superconductivity, Iclarendon Pr, International Series of Monographs on Physics, 2001 Image : Casey Reed / Penn State University



Neutrons stars ^[2]

- have a high density core
- symmetry restoration?
- hyperon ?



pred gnetic

Theories for QCD

Because of the asymptotic freedom, perturbative expansion is not valid at low-energy. Therefore, effective models are often used to evaluate low-energy QCD.

Four-Fermion Interaction Model^{[4][5]}

$$\mathcal{L}_{NJL} = \overline{\psi} i \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \psi + G[(\overline{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\overline{\psi} i \gamma^5 \tau^a \psi)^2]$$

- · low energy effective model
- failure for phenomena in the high-energy region

interaction term

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}}\Gamma\left(1-\frac{D}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{D-1}{2}\right)\left[(-1)^{a}-\left(\frac{m^{2}}{m_{a}^{2}}\right)^{\frac{D}{2}-1}\right]$$
$$=-\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{dK}{\sqrt{K^{2}+m^{2}}}\left(\frac{K}{m_{a}}\right)^{D-2}\frac{\exp\left(-L\sqrt{K^{2}+m^{2}}\right)-\cos(\pi\delta)}{\cosh\left(L\sqrt{K^{2}+m^{2}}\right)-\cos(\pi\delta)}$$
Nonlinear equation

Schwinger-Dyson Equation

$$\Sigma(p) = -i e^2 \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \gamma^{\mu} i S(q) \gamma^{\nu} i D_{\mu\nu}(p-q)$$

- \cdot equation for the exact correlation function
- \cdot non-perturbative effects are included
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ impossible to solve without appropriate approximations

$$B(p) = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi p} \int_0^{\Lambda} dq \frac{qB}{\sqrt{q^2 + B^2}} \left(\frac{\sinh(L\sigma)}{(\cosh(L\sigma) - \cos(\delta\pi))} \right)$$
$$\times \left[2\log\frac{(p+q)^2}{(p-q)^2} + \log\frac{(p+q)^2 + 2Nm_{ph}^2}{(p-q)^2 + 2Nm_{ph}^2} \right]$$

Nonlinear equation

[4] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, "Dynamical model of elementary particles based on an analogy with superconductivity. I," Phys. Rev. 122, 345-358 (1961) [5] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, "Dynamical model of elementary particles based on an analogy with superconductivity. II," Phys. Rev. 124, 246-254 (1961)

Theories for QCD

Because of the asymptotic freedom, perturbative expansion is not valid at low-energy. Therefore, effective models are often used to evaluate low-energy QCD.

Four-Fermion Interaction Model^{[4][5]}

$$\mathcal{L}_{NJL} = \overline{\psi} i \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \psi + G[(\overline{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\overline{\psi} i \gamma^5 \tau^a \psi)^2]$$

- · low energy effective model
- failure for phenomena in the high-energy region

Schwinger-Dyson Equation

$$\Sigma(p) = -ie^2 \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \gamma^{\mu} i S(q) \gamma^{\nu} i D_{\mu\nu}(p-q)$$

- \cdot equation for the exact correlation function
- \cdot non-perturbative effects are included
- impossible to solve without appropriate approximations

GOAL :
To analyze the effect of finite size and boundary condition for CSB using SDE
To compare the results with those of the Four-Fermion Interaction Model (FFIM)

[4] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, "Dynamical model of elementary particles based on an analogy with superconductivity. I," Phys. Rev. 122, 345-358 (1961) [5] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, "Dynamical model of elementary particles based on an analogy with superconductivity. II," Phys. Rev. 124, 246-254 (1961)

SDE with finite size and BC

 $\cdot\,$ SDE for fermion self-energy

$$\Sigma(p) = -ie^2 \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \gamma^{\mu} i S(q) \Gamma^{\mu}(p,q) i D_{\mu\nu}(p-q)$$

• propagator of fermions : S(p)

$$\mathcal{S}(p) = \frac{1}{\not p - \Sigma(p) + i\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\not p + (A-1)\not p + (A_{D-1}-1)\not p^{D-1} - B + i\epsilon}$$

• propagator of photons : $D_{\mu\nu}(q)$



Fig2. Feynman diagram of $\Sigma(p)$



SDE with finite size and $p = (p^0, p^1, \dots, p^{D-1}) = (\check{p}, p^{D-1})$ $p^{D-1} = \frac{2m\pi}{L} + \frac{\pi\delta}{L} \quad \stackrel{L}{\delta}$: boundary condition

• SDE for fermion self-energy

$$\Sigma(p) = -ie^2 \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \gamma^\mu i S(q) \Gamma^\mu(p,q) i D_{\mu\nu}(p-q) dq D_{\mu\nu}(p-q$$

• propagator of fermions : S(p)

$$S(p) = \frac{1}{\not p - \Sigma(p) + i\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\not p + (A-1)\not p + (A_{D-1}-1)\not p^{D-1} - B + i\epsilon}$$
Fig2. Feynman diagram of $\Sigma(p)$

propagator of photons :
$$D_{\mu
u}(q)$$

ladder approximation

 p^{D-1} $\psi(\check{x}, x^{D-1} + L)$ $= e^{i\pi\delta}\psi(\check{x}, x^{D-1})$

 $\Sigma(\check{p}, p^{D-1}) = -(A-1)\check{p} - (A_{D-1}-1)\check{p}^{D-1} + B$





Analytical calculation

Schwinger-Dyson Equation

$$\begin{split} \Sigma(p) &= -ie^2 \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D} \gamma^{\mu} i S(q) \Gamma^{\mu}(p,q) i D_{\mu\nu}(p-q) \\ &\int \frac{dq^{D-1}}{2\pi} \rightarrow \frac{1}{L} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \checkmark \text{ imaginary-time formalism} \\ \gamma \text{ IE approximation} \\ \gamma \text{ IE approximation} \\ \gamma \text{ angle Integral} \end{split}$$

[6] T. Inagaki, Y. Matsuo, and H. Shimoji, Symmetry 11 (2019) no. 4, 451

Analytical calculation



[6] T. Inagaki, Y. Matsuo, and H. Shimoji, Symmetry 11 (2019) no. 4, 451

Numerical results 1 < finite size and BC effects >

Four-Fermion Interaction Model



[6] Fig2. Dynamically generated fermion mass calculated by FFIM at D=3

- · phase transition is second-order
- the finite-size effect restores the broken chiral symmetry at $\delta = 1$ and strengthens the chiral symmetry breaking at $\delta = 0$.

Schwinger-Dyson Equation



Fig3. Dynamically generated fermion mass calculated by SDE at D=4

 similar behavior at D=3 in FFIM and at D=4 in SDE (under the effect of finite temperature, FFIM at D=2 and SDE at D=4 are known to behave similarly.[7])
 →effect of IE approximation

[6] T. Inagaki, Y. Matsuo, and H. Shimoji, Symmetry 11 (2019) no. 4, 451
[7] D. Bailin, J. Cleymans and M. D. Scadron, Phys. Rev. D 31, 164 (1985). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.31.164

Numerical results 2 < BC and dimension effects >

0.08

0.10

Four-Fermion Interaction Model



6 Fig4. Dynamically generated fermion mass calculated by FFIM

- the generated mass monotonically decreases as $\delta \rightarrow 1$.
- · in the weak coupling case ($\lambda r < \lambda cr$) the fermion mass disappears above a critical value of δ .

Schwinger-Dyson Equation



Fig5. Dynamically generated fermion mass calculated by SDE

 \cdot in SDE, there is the symmetry breaking phase at D=4 similar behavior at D=3 in FFIM and at D=3 in SDE for changes in BC

Numerical results 3 < Debye mass effects >

With Debye mass

Without Debye mass



Numerical results 3 < Debye mass effects >

With Debye mass

Without Debye mass



• without Debye mass, the critical value of L is smaller (L=about 1.5).

Numerical results 3 < Debye mass effects >



- without Debye mass, the critical value of L is smaller (L=about 1.5).
 - \rightarrow this value is close to the one of L in FFIM.
 - \rightarrow similar behavior at D=3 in FFIM and at D=4 in SDE without Debye mass

Numerical results 3 < Debye mass effects >

With Debye mass

Without Debye mass



- without Debye mass, the critical value of L is smaller (L=about 1.5).
 - \rightarrow this value is close to the one of L in FFIM.
 - \rightarrow similar behavior at D=3 in FFIM and at D=4 in SDE without Debye mass
- the finite size effect is expected to increase as the number of charged particles is increased.

Conclusion and Summary

- We have studied spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking in Schwinger-Dyson Equation on torus with the U(1)-valued boundary condition and found the difference from the Four-Fermion Interaction Model.
 - · chiral symmetry breaking under finite size and BC effects
 - \cdot varies significantly with boundary conditions
 - \rightarrow more easily restored in δ = 1
 - \rightarrow relationship with Aharonov–Bohm phase $?^{[8]}$
 - restored in higher dimension (D=4)
 - \rightarrow even higher dimension ?



- similar behavior at D=3 in FFIM and at D=4 in SDE for changes in finite size, and similar behavior at D=3 in FFIM and at D=3 in SDE for changes in BC
- the significant difference between SDE and FFIM lies in the fermion interaction part.
 one of the advantages of SDE is its ability to account for the effects of changing the Debye mass.